GRS IX 5]

Previous references. 1021-22 (Mag.) **File No.** 451-22

525-17
1417

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for October 1924

SUBJECT

Sreebakti Anjaneya Swami
Temple at Chital Drug.

To. Mun Commr. No 503 dt 10.10.24

States that Govt have no
Objn to permit Mrs Govindachar
to remove the materials in question
in addition to the payment of Rs

A1850

G. R S. 11] 1922-23.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Muzrai

NOTES.

File No 451-22

FILE HEADING.

Affairs of the Sri Sannidhi Anjaneyaswami temple at Chitaldrug.

From

The Muzrai Commr in Mysore.

No. 704 of 22-23. Dated 17.6.23. Received 20.6.23.

Diary No. 2298. Enclos 2 Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for information copies of letter No. D.A. 738/19-20 dated 25th May 1923 from the D.A. Chitaldrug in the matter of taking over the above sister's under your management and his reply thereto calling for a detailed report regarding the amount available for the improvements required for the sister as well as the D.A.'s views in the matter.

The previous file Muzrai (147) 19 containing the office notes on the subject is put up for ready perusal. The Register for communications and enquiries

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

T. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mazg. File No. 951 of 1922.

Serial No.

Memorandum transferred to the Municipal Commissioner with this office No. 1655/Mazg. 63-22-17 d. 1.11.22 for disposal.

The D.C. Mount states in his letter that the structure within the temple premises was sold by Mr. Nanainamuti Rao to Mr. Ganeshan for Rs. 400 and that the latter is willing to forfeit all his right to the possession of the building provided he is paid Rs. 300 and that Mr. P.Y. Rama Rao, son of the late Mr. P. Seshagiri Rao, has agreed to pay the amount and take over the structure to the temple.

2. Submitted for perusal and orders as to whether the Municipal Commissioner's further report may be awaited.

20.6.23: The Mun. Com. for the report may be awaited.

Sd
22/6/23

4. Yes, as proposed.
Sd/ 6/23

2(a) To the Municipal Commissioner. D.O. No. 695 d. 3.10.23. Request him to intimate the stage at which the matter stands when the final report on the subject may be expected in this

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mang. File No. 451 of 1922.

Serial No.	
3	From the Manjeri Commr. No. Y. 706/22-23 d. 11.3.24. States that a sum of Rs. 300 received from Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao, late 1st Mamlatdar of Ernad, was sent to the Assistant Overseer of the Whitaker Scheme with instructions to pay the amount to Mr. Govindachar & get the tiled structure within the temple compound released in favour of the instn. &
4	From — to — No. Y. 706/22-23 d. 21.6.24. States that the Commr. of Whitaker recommends that as the materials of the pot-tiled structure to which Mr. Govindachar laid claim as per terms of his memorandum dated 9th Augt. 1922 are not of much value, sanction may be accorded for the removal of the said materials by Mr. Govindachar & the payment of Rs. 300, and requests sanction to the D.C.'s recommendations.
	5. Submd. for orders. to Mr Ganapathi Iyer. to whom 300 Rs was directed in 1.7.24. file sent. This claims the building was the materials of the pot tiled house within the Compound. These materials are of very small value & both the Dy. Com. and the Mang Com. are in favour.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[R. S. III-2]

1121

(4

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 457 of 1922

Serial No.

of giving away in addn to the 300 Rs. Magistrates allowance be sanctioned

BG 1.7.24 Is Draft put up accordingly for consideration

D 4.7.24.

7 Draft Sanction letter 10/7 BG 11.7.24 Draft for Consideration

D 12.7.24

Rs 3.7

Revised

299/14.7 II Memo (M)

D 14.7.24.

9. A short note about the facts which can now be put up

10. In the absence of the previous correspondence, I cannot make out what he can do

R 13/9 Rs 14 & 9.24

D 15.9.24.

11. A short note, as directed, is put up.

SD 24/9/24

SD 30/9/24 A revised note is put up.

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - GPD - 3750—1 8 22

Serial No.

12. The temple of Sri Anjaneyaswami at Chitaldrug was constructed by Mr. Parna Krishna Rao, the father of Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao, retired Member of Council. The late Mr. P. Seshagiri Rao, a pleader at Chitaldrug and a cousin of Mr. Raghavendra Rao was managing the temple affairs. One Narayanamurti Rao got possession of the temple compound from Mr. Seshagiri Rao on condition that he might put up a structure on it and be in possession of it, till he was repaid the sum spent therefor. Narayana murti Rao, who accordingly put up a structure & remained in possession of it from 1906, transferred it to one Garudachar for Rs 400 by means of a deed, when he left Chitaldrug. There is no deed between Seshagiri Rao & Narayana Murti Rao.

13. The question of taking the temple under Govt. management having cropped up, the Govt. Advocate was consulted as to whether Mr. Garudachar was entitled to any compensation for quitting the compound & structure therein. At this stage the entire correspondence was transferred to the Mty: Comr. for disposal when the office of the Mty: Comr. was created.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

19

Serial No.

Pages 19 to 32 of the office note in Mny: file 147-19 explain in detail the facts of the case briefly noted above.

14 The Mny: Comr, after making further enquiry into the matter, reported that Mr. P. S. Rama Rao son of Mr. P. S. Seshagiri Rao had agreed to pay Rs 300/- to Mr. Garudachar and get the compound & structure released for the temple; that the amount due from Mr. Rama Rao was received through Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao & the same was sent to the Amildar of the Bhitaldrug Taluk with instructions to get the compound & structure released. The Amildar having reported that Mr. Garudachar insisted on getting the building materials of the structure in addition to Rs 300/- according to the terms of his agreement of 9-11-22, to release the compound and structure therein, the Mny: Comr recommends in his letter no. C. 704 of 20-6-24 now under disposal, that Mr. Garudachar may be allowed to take the building materials, which are of no great value, in addition to Rs 300/-, in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

15. A copy of the G.O. No. 3668-72/ Mny 684-18-2 of 26-5-19 taking over the temple under Govt. management

NOTE—Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 454 of 1924

Serial No.

and accepting all endowment offers 5000 by Mrs B. Raghavendra Rao for its upkeep, is put up for reference.

Draft reply to Mun Com. Subd of Comm'd

SD
30-9-24.

30/9/24.

1.10.24.

108/3 W.

2nd member

16 The proposal is also in finding & Govt the materials be applied

17 In this connection, it will be known that the proposals were received for ascertaining the intentions of the Endowers in making the endowments & Govt made a Rule for the purpose of the Act. Govt also framed rules & the Committee began to do what was their proper duty & the proposals had not been placed before Govt for sanction. They were returned to the Board with directions to frame schemes

3/10.

Subd

6.10.24.

19. May the draft approved by Secretary on 13.7.24 issue.

20. With regard to the member's minutes in paras 17 & 18, it is subd that orders have issued on the point in G.O. Ms. 1922-4/Mun 335-19-2 & 30-12-19.

Issue of circular

7.10.24.

NOTE—Contd. Sheet

a copy of which will be obtained from Head quarters and subd after the issue of the draft.

8/10/24

2. The Mny. Commr. No. RS.503 d. 10.10.24. 5 states that Govt have no objection to the D.G.'s proposal to permit Mr. Gaundar to remove the materials of the building in addn to the payment of Rs. 300, d ing in addn to the payment of Rs. 300, d

request that the transactions may be closed as early as possible & a release land got executed by Mr. Gaundar & a report of action taken subd to Govt.

Mny: file 335-19 containing the G.O. No. 1922-4 & 30-12-19 sanctg a scale of expenditure for the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Chitaldrug is put up for the member's perusal, as required in paras 17 & 18 supra -

8/10/24

12 10 24.

203/15 2nd member

Seen

25/10

10.xi

Note—Contn Sheet

C704 yrs two 9

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, the 18th June 1923.

19.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

20 JUN 1923 MUZRAI 12298

To

C451-22-1

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

20 JUN 19

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.5237/M.F.147-19-6 dated 25th March 1922 to the address of the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, calling for certain information regarding the construction of a structure in the compound of Sri Suvrishti Anjaneyaswami temple at Chitaldrug, I have the honor to forward herewith for your information copies of letter No.C.C.738/19-20 dated 25th ultimo from the Deputy Commissioner in the matter and of my reply thereto.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent on casual leave).

D.N.

Letter No.C.753/19-20 dated 25th May 1923, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore: /C

* * * *

"With reference to your letter No.5237/Muz.147-29-6 dated 25-3-22 and subsequent reminder on the subject of taking over the temple of Sri Suvristi Anjaneyaswamy at Chitaldrug under the Government management, calling for additional information as to who applied for permission for the construction of the compound wall and structure in the premises and who actually built them etc., I have the honor to state as follows:--

It is learnt that during the time of Mr. M.S. Narayana Rao, the compound wall was constructed at the cost of Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao, now First Member of Council. The structure within the premises is stated to have been built by P. Narayanamurthi Rao. The Sub Divisional Officer further reports that the structure in the premises was sold by Mr. P. - Narayanamurthi Rao to Mr. P. Garudachar for Rs.400/- on condition of its being restored on the purchaser's getting back his amount. Mr. B.Garuda Char has expressed his consent to forfeit all his right thereto if he is paid Rs.300/- which Mr. P.S. Rama Rao, son of Mr. P. Seshagiri Rao has agreed to pay and make over the structure to the institution.

The file of vernacular correspondence in the matter ending with Chitaldrug Sub Division Officer's H.C.348 of 19-20 dated 14-5-1923 is forwarded herewith for reference".

* * * *

Copy.

for Muzrai Commissioner. 18/6/23

D.K.

Letter No. 304/Rud. dated 1st June 1920 from the Rural Commissioner in Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur.

"Adverting to your letter No. [illegible] dated [illegible] re: the [illegible] Shri [illegible] Bangalore/Kasaba to erect a building on the site of Sri Subbaiah Chetty Street [illegible] I have [illegible] the [illegible] at once by Mr. P.S. Rama Rao [illegible] released in favour of the Institute on proper terms [illegible] permit might be given [illegible] Institute, whether [illegible] available [illegible] their necessity or otherwise.

2. The documents are returned herewith."

For Rural Commissioner.

14

Muz 4]

SUBJECT..... (17)

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Mucy

File No. L(11-72

Serial No. 2

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

No. L495

Date of issue 3-10-23

To

Signed by Sd/- P.E. Jayarama Iyer.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter no. C 704 dt 19th June 23 forwarding copies of Correspondence with the Dy Comr Chitaldrug with regard to the construction of a Structure in the Compound of Sri Sarvashri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Chitaldrug I am directed to request you to be so good as to intimate the Steps taken on the said petition.

At which the matter stands & when the final report on the subject may be expected in this office.

Forwarding cards.

Dec 25/12/23

24/12/23

R. P.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563 GPB 2 600 – 27 7 22

2894

D. 1121 /23

No. C 706/22-23 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Dated Bangalore, 11th March 1924

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A., Muz.451-22-3.

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your D.O. letter No.1029/M.F.451-23 dated the 29th January 1924 calling for the submission of the report regarding the assumption by Government of the management of the Sri -- Sumishti Anjaneyaswami temple at Chitaldrug, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner who was asked to report in the matter informs in his D.O.No.738/19-20 dated the 1st March 1924 that a sum of Rs.300/- due from P.C.Rama Rao was received through Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao, 1st Member of Council, and was sent to the Amildar of the Chitaldrug Taluk with instructions to pay the said amount to Mr. Garudachar and get the tiled structure within the compound wall of the temple released in favour of the institution.

The final report of the Deputy Commissioner in the matter is awaited and will be submitted for orders of Government on ---- receipt of the same.

I have the honor to

Sir,

Your most obedient humble servant

Muzrai Commissioner.

The connected file is put up. The receipt of the final report promised, may be awaited.

KSR

14/4/24

13/4/24

C 704 9-22-3
one file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore, Dated 20th June 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esq, B.A.
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq, B.A.
Secretary to Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Revenue Department.
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.C.704 of 22-23 dated 11th March 1924 re the assumption by Government of the management of the Sree Suvristi Anjaneyaswami temple at Chitaldrug, I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, in his letter No.O.C.738 of 19-20 dated 6th June 1924(copy enclosed) intimates that when Mr. Garudachar was offered Rs.300/- by the Amildar of Chitaldrug Taluk, he contended that according to the terms of his "Mutchalika" dated 9th ~~December~~ November 1922 he was also entitled for the removal of the materials of the pot-tiled structure within the temple compound in addition to the payment of Rs.300/-, before he could execute the necessary release bond. The Deputy Commissioner while stating that as the final settlement could not be brought about without foregoing the materials of the tiled structure, recommends that as the materials are of practically no great value, sanction for the removal of the materials of the pot-tiled structure by Mr. Garudachar in addition to the payment of Rs.300/- to him, may be given.

2. I have examined the "Mutchalika", and the terms set forth therein are clear and definite, and there is no alternative but to permit Mr. Garudachar to remove the materials of the pot tiled structure within the compound.

In these circumstances I request that ~~the~~ Government may be pleased to approve of the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation.

3. The file containing the "Mutchalika" in original and other

P.T.O.

15

other connected papers is herewith enclosed for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Caundy

Muzrai Commissioner.

M. A. N.

(11.

Letter No.738 dated 6th June 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

==========

In resubmitting herewith the records relating to the taking over under Government management of the Sri Suvristi Anjaneyaswami temple at Chitaldrug, I have the honour to state that the Amildar of Chitaldrug Taluk having secured the presence of Mr.B.Garudachar offered him the sum of Rs.300/- sent by Rajamantra Pravina Dewan Bahadur Mr.P.Raghavendra Rao towards the settlement of certain disputed private rights existing thereon. When Mr.B.Garudachar was asked by the said Amildar to execute necessary bond in token of the payment releasing the compound and structure from encumbrances, as per instructions conveyed in your letter No.C.704/22-24 dated the 13/14th June 1923 he contended that under the terms of his mutchalika dated the 9th November 1922 was entitled to remove the materials of the pot tiled structure within the compound in addition to payment of Rs.300/- before he could execute any release bond in favour of the institution. The Amildar feeling doubtful as to whether the said individual can thus be permitted to remove the materials referred to above, has sought orders of this office. In view of the fact that a final settlement could not possibly be brought about without foregoing the materials of the tiled structure in favour of Mr.Garudachar, I request that you will be so good as to accord sanction for their removal by Mr.Garudachar in addition to the payment of Rs.300/' to him. It may be mentioned that the materials of the structure proposed to be given away to Garudachar are of practically no great value.

"C O P Y"

For Muzrai Commissioner. 20/6/24

BR

SUBJECT ....

... .

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

Section Mzg.

File No. 451-22

Serial No. 5.

No. 503/Encl. & Bs

Date of issue 10-10-24

Signed by T. Ramaiya

To

The Muzrai Com^r
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no C 704 of the 20th June 1924, regarding the Sri Sannidhi Anjaneyaswami temple at Chitaldrug, I am directed to state that Government have no objection to the Deputy Com^r Chitaldrug permitting Sri Samudachar to remove the materials of the building in question in addition to the payment to him of Rs 300/-, being adopted.

over.

Com^r
5/11